

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,580	04/11/2006	Neil C. Bird	GB 030188	9573
24737 7590 02/06/2008 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			PAN, YUWEN	
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			02/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/575,580	BIRD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Yuwen Pan	2618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tion. Period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' y statute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. sply be timely filed IHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un 	This action is non-final.	•			
Disposition of Claims		·			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.	,			
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11 April 2006 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of the control of the cont	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecto to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		formal Patent Application			

10/575,580 Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Per claim 1, "a receiver" is appeared on steps c, f, and h in which is indefinite as single receiver or three receivers. Based on the best understanding of invention, the examiner assumes that they are the same receiver.

- 3. Claim 17 recites the limitation "the transmitter" in line 13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the relatively immobile object" in 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The examiner assumes the third relatively immobile object.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 17 is objected to because of the following informalities: "Apparatus", in line 1 should be changed to 'A system". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Diener et al (US007006838B2).

Per claim 1, Diener discloses a method of indicating the location of a relatively mobile object (see figure 1 and item 100), comprising the steps of : generating and transmitting a first signal that is characteristic of a first relatively immobile object (see figure 1, link between MRT and item 400); generating and transmitting a second signal from the second relatively immobile object (see figure 1 and item 200); generating and transmitting a third signal that is characteristic of the relatively immobile object 9see figure 1 and item 100); detecting all three signals at the network server (figure 1 and item 400); operating a processing device operatively connected to the receiver using signal t-o-f data and received SNR information to establish the distance of the relatively mobile object respectively from the first and second relatively immobile (see column 3 and lines 29-53); generating a signal indicating whether the relatively mobile object is for the time being closer to the first or the second relatively immobile object as the case may be (see figure 2. item 2040, column 3 and lines 55-58, column 17 and lines 1-20).

Same arguments apply, mutatis mutandis, to claim 17...

Per claim 2, Diener further teaches getting location of all the reference terminal (see column 6 and lines 23-30).

Art Unit: 2618

Per claim 3, Diener further teaches supplying via an input device, data to the processing device that associate each said portable transmitter with the object on which it is located (see column 6 and lines 48-52).

Per claim 4, Diener further teaches identifying the relatively mobile object and at least the relatively immobile object to which it is closer/closest 9see column 17 and lines 1-20).

Per claim 5, Diener further teaches the steps of determining the signals t-o-f data by obtaining timing information between first and second device (see figure 6 and corresponding paragraphs).

Same arguments apply, mutatis mutandis, to claim 20.

Per claim 6, Diener further teaches determining RSSI of beacon 1, 2, or 3 (see column 8 and lines 31-65).

Same arguments apply, mutatis mutandis, to claim 21.

Per claim 7, Diener further teaches carrying out a contextual conversion using a data base to interpret co-ordinates corresponding to the locations of the said objects, and generating one or more messages indicatively of the ID of one or more said objects (see column 17 and lines 1-20).

Same arguments apply, mutatis mutandis, to claim 32.

Application/Control Number:

10/575,580

Art Unit: 2618

Per claim 12 and 13, Diener further teaches that prompting a user as to the class of data, selected from a set of classes in which includes relatively mobile objects, relatively immobile objects; and base stations (see column 17 and lines 1-20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diener in view of Gonzalez (US006963283B1).

Per claim 8, Diener does not teach that adhering a portable transmitter to each respective object using an adhesive material. Gonzalez teaches adhering a portable transmitter to each respective object using an adhesive material (see figure 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the references to easily carried by a potentially protected object.

Same arguments apply, *mutatis mutandis*, to claims 22, 23, and 26.

Per claims 9 and 10, Gonzalez further teaches that activating each portable transmitter from a deactivated state in which removing each said portable transmitter from a storage location, interaction between each portable transmitter and the storage location maintaining it in

Art Unit: 2618

the said deactivated state and the said removing causing the said activation (see column 2 and lines 29-36).

Same arguments apply, mutatis mutandis, to claims 25, 27 and 28.

Per claim 11, Gonzalez further teaches entering data via one or more of a keyboard (see figure 1, programming buttons).

Same arguments apply, mutatis mutandis, to claims 29, 30 and 31.

Per claim 14-16, Gonzalez further teaches programming buttons and displays for interrogating the transmitter (see figure 1 and 4).

Same arguments apply, mutatis mutandis, to claims 18, and 19.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yuwen Pan whose telephone number is 571-272-7855. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anderson D. Matthew can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/575,580

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Yuwen Pan

February 4, 2008